

Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS 5012																	
Subject Title	Curriculum–Based Designs and Response To Instructional Strategies																	
Credit Value	3																	
Level	5																	
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil																	
Minimum Pass Grade	D																	
Assessment Methods	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">100% Continuous Assessment</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Individual Assessment</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Group Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Class Attendance and Participation</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Seminar Presentation of one group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies</td> <td style="text-align: center;">40%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0% Examination</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> <td style="text-align: center;">--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned; The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject 			100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	Group Assessment	1. Class Attendance and Participation	10%	--	2. Seminar Presentation of one group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies	--	50%	3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies	40%	--	0% Examination	--	--
100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	Group Assessment																
1. Class Attendance and Participation	10%	--																
2. Seminar Presentation of one group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies	--	50%																
3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies	40%	--																
0% Examination	--	--																
Objectives	<p>With a goal to improving the curriculum-based designs and proactively responding to instruction, this subject provides students (Educational Psychologists-in-Training, EPiTs) with the following learning objectives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To provide comprehensive knowledge and skills of curriculum planning and instructional strategies, including exemplar (good) practices, theoretical and research bases of differentiating curriculum instruction relevant to cultural contexts; 2. To examine the implementation and sustainability of diversifying curriculum structure and differentiating instruction for meeting special educational needs and catering for diverse abilities of school-aged students; 																	

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. To support continual evaluation and evidence-based research on curriculum designs and instructional practices; and 4. To examine contemporary trends of curriculum and instruction for diversity, such as the educational technology's role, students' diverse experience of school curricular and responses to instruction (RTI).
<p>Intended Learning Outcomes</p>	<p>Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Acquire essential theoretical and evidence-based knowledge and skills in curriculum planning and differentiated instruction for students with SEN as well as students with diverse abilities and learning problems, including SpLD, G/T, ASD /AD, ADHD, SEM, etc.; b. Demonstrate adequate level of knowledge and skills competency through successful completion of assignments on curriculum planning and differentiating instruction for both high-end and low-end students in mainstream schools; c. Integrate knowledge and acquired skills of contemporary models of curriculum designs and instructional strategies into responses to challenges of changing education reforms, including infusing curricular with creativity, disseminating higher-order thinking skills, learning and teaching through multiple intelligences, effectively formulating problem-solving and research-based instruction strategies, etc.; d. Assess and evaluate critically in curriculum teaching and practice, including structuring curriculum, curriculum implementation and sustainability; e. Make effective use of the educational research and technology skills for curriculum designs and responses to instructional strategies for students with special educational needs; f. Monitor curriculum in context and respond effectively to general curriculum instructions, including examining diversity of teaching and learning styles, teachers' experiences of curriculum designs, and contexts relevant to implementation of policy, pedagogy and situation; and; g. Inquire into issues concerning with curriculum designs and instructional strategies, including attempts to engage in empirical studies relevant to students' strengths, learning motivations and academic interests.
<p>Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An Overview of Theoretical and Evidence-Based Knowledge of Curricular and Instructional Approaches for Inclusive Education and Mainstream Schools 2. Assessment and Measurement for Curriculum and Instruction <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Principles of Outcomes-Based Education and Foundations for Quality Teaching and Positive Learning 2.2 Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM) and psychometric consideration of evaluating Responses To Instruction (RTI) 2.3 Decision-making validity in teaching curriculum and response to instruction 2.4 Assessing teachers' and students' experiences of curriculum and their responses to instruction 2.5 Contextual influences of curriculum and instruction: Critical issues and strategies 3. Research-Based Curriculum Designs and Responses to Instruction <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1 Brain-compatible instruction and personal responsibility for learning

	<p>3.2 Identifying specific learning disabilities based on response to instruction: Evidence from early intervention research</p> <p>3.3 Differentiating the learning process: Scaffolded instruction and metacognition</p> <p>3.4 Monitoring curriculum in context and response to general curriculum instruction</p> <p>3.5 Effects on assessing implementation of curriculum teaching and response to instruction</p> <p>4. Implementing Curriculum Teaching and Instructional Strategies</p> <p>4.1 Differentiated Curricular for Students with Special Educational Needs (SEN)</p> <p>4.1.1 Instruction for students with Specific Learning Disabilities / Learning Difficulties: Spelling, Reading & Literacy, and Numeracy</p> <p>4.1.2 Enrichment Triad Model (ETM) for high ability, gifted and talented students;</p> <p>4.1.3 Curriculum Compacting and Mentorship for Independent Studies for Exceptionally Gifted and Talented students;</p> <p>4.1.4 Positive Curriculum and Classroom Management for Creating Positive Learning Environments for Specific Groups: Personalized Personal-Social Education for Bullying/ School Violence, Social-emotional Maladjusted, Crisis Management, etc.;</p> <p>4.1.5 ADOS & KADI for students displaying behavioural features of Autistic Spectrum Disorder & Asperger’s Disorder;</p> <p>4.2 Curriculum Designs and Instructional Strategies for All Students</p> <p>4.2.1 Schoolwide Enrichment Model for improving overall quality of education;</p> <p>4.2.2 Teaching Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in secondary, primary and preschool sectors: Promoting “<i>The Thinking School and Learning City</i>”</p> <p>4.2.3 Integrative Curriculum through Teaching and Learning of Multiple Intelligences</p> <p>4.2.4 Infusing with evidence-based teaching strategies: Creativity/ Problem-solving, Co-operative Learning, Problem-based Learning, Role-play, Small-group Work, Focus Discussion, Student Research and Case Study.</p> <p>5. Evaluation of the Curricular and Instructional Strategies</p> <p>5.1 Initiating action research and conducting self-evaluation for differentiated instruction</p> <p>5.2 Evaluation of learning outcomes of targeting students in need</p> <p>5.3 Developing a professional improvement plan of curriculum and instruction</p> <p>5.4 Reflective exercises for the positive curriculum and instructional differences for the learners</p>
--	--

<p>Teaching/Learning Methodology</p>	<p>In lectures, students (Educational Psychologists-in-Training, EPiTs), are introduced to theoretical and knowledge of curricular and evidence-based instructional approaches for inclusive education and mainstream schools relevant to the practice of professional educational psychologists. The teaching/ learning approach is based on <i>experiential learning</i>, which demands more of reflective thinking, including EPiT's own experience in curriculum planning and instructional strategies, observations of the issues concerned. Participatory learning is emphasized, and EPiTs are encouraged to develop an open-minded attitude and build up confidence in intellectual discussion. The <i>web-assisted exercises</i> are designed for self-revision to maximize effects of experiential learning. Ideas and concepts relevant to focus-specific and target-specific curriculum models and instruction for students with diverse learning abilities are examined through <i>in-depth discussion during seminars and a written critique</i>. Moreover, a <i>problem-solving model</i> is promoted, which maximizes the collaboration and involvement of children, parents, teachers and other professionals in designing school-based curricular, and promoting instructional strategies. The EPiTs are given opportunities to examine and to reflect their roles and functions as professional educational psychologists within the psycho-educational consultation framework.</p>																																																									
<p>Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="424 965 1445 1827"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="424 965 772 1178" rowspan="2">Specific assessment methods/tasks</th> <th data-bbox="772 965 1019 1178" rowspan="2">% weighting</th> <th colspan="6" data-bbox="1019 965 1445 1106">Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="1019 1106 1080 1178">a</th> <th data-bbox="1080 1106 1141 1178">b</th> <th data-bbox="1141 1106 1201 1178">c</th> <th data-bbox="1201 1106 1262 1178">d</th> <th data-bbox="1262 1106 1323 1178">e</th> <th data-bbox="1323 1106 1383 1178">f</th> <th data-bbox="1383 1106 1445 1178">g</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="424 1178 772 1285">1. Class Attendance and Participation</td> <td data-bbox="772 1178 1019 1285">10%</td> <td data-bbox="1019 1178 1080 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1080 1178 1141 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1141 1178 1201 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1201 1178 1262 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1262 1178 1323 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1323 1178 1383 1285">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1383 1178 1445 1285">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="424 1285 772 1541">2. Seminar Presentation of one individual/ group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies</td> <td data-bbox="772 1285 1019 1541">50%</td> <td data-bbox="1019 1285 1080 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1080 1285 1141 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1141 1285 1201 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1201 1285 1262 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1262 1285 1323 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1323 1285 1383 1541">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1383 1285 1445 1541">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="424 1541 772 1756">3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies</td> <td data-bbox="772 1541 1019 1756">40%</td> <td data-bbox="1019 1541 1080 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1080 1541 1141 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1141 1541 1201 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1201 1541 1262 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1262 1541 1323 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1323 1541 1383 1756">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1383 1541 1445 1756">✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="424 1756 772 1827">Total</td> <td data-bbox="772 1756 1019 1827">100%</td> <td colspan="6" data-bbox="1019 1756 1445 1827"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="424 1868 1445 2007">1. Attendance and participation. Class participation is rated for each class based on preparation and participation in class discussion and activities. Students (EPiTs) are expected to be present for <u>ALL</u> class meetings, and to participate actively in presentation and discussion.</p>								Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)						a	b	c	d	e	f	g	1. Class Attendance and Participation	10%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	2. Seminar Presentation of one individual/ group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies	50%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies	40%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Total	100%						
Specific assessment methods/tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)																																																								
		a	b	c	d	e	f	g																																																		
1. Class Attendance and Participation	10%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓																																																		
2. Seminar Presentation of one individual/ group-designed curriculum and/or Instructional Strategies	50%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓																																																		
3. Written Critique of One Curriculum Design and/or Instructional Strategies	40%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓																																																		
Total	100%																																																									

	<p>2. <i>Seminar presentation of <u>ONE</u> curriculum plan and/or instructional strategies for targeted students.</i> Students will form groups of three and they will select a condition for presentation. The presentation should be 1.5 hours. The presentation should include brief description of the nature of the condition, but will focus on curriculum design/programming for the condition, and suggestions for teachers, types of questions asked by parents and ways to help the parents.</p> <p>3. <i>Written critique of <u>ONE</u> Curriculum and instruction strategies.</i> Each EPiT is required to write a written critique of ONE self-selected curriculum and related instructional strategies that should be either covered in lectures and/or already being implemented in local schools. The EPiTs are required to conduct an appraisal of the contributions and limitations of curriculum and critically examine instructional strategies whether they are feasible for practice in local context. Each student is assessed and graded according to: (i) the appropriate application of the acquired curriculum and instruction knowledge and skills in the local context; (ii) the comprehensiveness and precision of the proposed curriculum-based design and/or instructional strategies; and (iii) clarity in presentation and creative originality in contents. Sample curricular and instructional strategies are disseminated in class.</p>	
Student Study Effort Required	Class contact:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lecture 	26 Hrs.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Seminar 	13 Hrs.
	Other student study effort:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reading and reflection 	40 Hrs.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Private study 	42 Hrs.
	Total student study effort	121Hrs.
Reading List and References	<p><u>Recommended Textbooks and Journal Articles</u></p> <p>Adelson, J.L., Housand, A., Vieth, R., & Reis, S.M. (2006). Differentiation and data: Extraordinary achievement at Hartford Magnet Middle School. In P. Behuniak, S.M. Reis, D.B. McCoach, J.M. Stephens (Eds.). <i>A study of student achievement in Connecticut</i> (pp. 86-112). Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.</p> <p>Armstrong T. H. S. (1983). <i>Multiple intelligences in the classroom</i>. New York: Random House.</p> <p>Boggeman, S, Hoerr, T., & Wallach, C. (Eds.). (1996). <i>Succeeding with multiple intelligences : Teaching through the personal intelligences</i>. St. Louis, Mo.: New City School. [HKPolyU serial no. LB1060 .S83 1996]</p>	

- Beachner, L., & Pickett, A. (2001). *Multiple intelligences and positive life habits: 174 activities for applying them in your classrooms*. Thousand Oaks, CA : Corwin Press. [HKPolyU serial no. LB1592 .B43 2001]
- Briggs, C. J., Reis, S. M., Eckert, R. D., & Baum, S. (2006). Providing programs for special populations of gifted and talented students. In J. H. Purcell & R. D. Eckert (Eds.), *Designing services and programs for high-ability learners* (pp.32-48). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Brown, D., & Trusty, J.G. (2005). *Designing and leading comprehensive school counseling programs: Promoting student competence and meeting student needs*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Campbell, L., & Campbell, B. (1999). *Multiple intelligences and student achievement: Success stories from six schools*. Alexandria, VA: The Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD).
- Campbell, L., Campbell, B., & Dickinson, D. (1999). *Teaching and learning through multiple intelligences*. Needham Heights: Allyn & Bacon.
- Chan, C.K.K., & Siegel, L. S. (2001). Phonological processing in reading Chinese among normally achieving and poor readers. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 80, 23-43.
- Chiappe, P., Chiappe, D.L., & Siegel, L. S. (2001). Speech perception, lexicality and reading skill. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 80, 58-74.
- Chiappe, P., Hasher, L., & Siegel, L. S. (2000). Working memory, inhibitory control and reading disability. *Memory and Cognition*, 28, 8-17.
- Colangelo, N. & Davis, G. A. (Eds.). (1991). *Handbook of gifted education*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Connelly, F. M., He, M. F., & Phillion, J. I. (2008). *The handbook of curriculum and instruction*. Thousand Oaks : SAGE Publication, Inc.
- D'Angiulli, A., Siegel, L.S., & Maggi, S. (2004). Literacy instruction, SES, and word-reading achievement in English-Language learners and children with English as a first language: A longitudinal study. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice* 19, 202–213.
- de Bono, E. (1992). *Six Thinking Hats for schools: Lower primary, middle-upper primary, lower secondary and middle-upper secondary*. Australia: Hawker Brownlow Education.
- Dockrell, J., & McShanne, J. (1993). *Children's learning difficulties: A cognitive approach*. Oxford: Blackwell. [HKPolyU serial no.

LC4704 .D63 1993] .

- Erickson, H. L.(2006). *Concept-based curriculum and instruction for the thinking classroom*. Thousand Oaks, Calif. : Corwin Press.
- Evans J., & Lunt I. (2002). Inclusive education: Are there limits? *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 17, 1, 1-14.
- Field, G.B., & Reis, S.M. (2006). Powerful leadership and arts integration: Extraordinary achievement at Westover School. In P. Behuniak, S.M. Reis, D.B. McCoach, J.M. Stephens (Eds.). *A study of student achievement in Connecticut* (pp. 56-70). Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.
- Foreman, P. (2007). *Inclusion in action*. (2nd ed.). Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- *Frederickson, N. & Cline T. (2015) *Special Educational Needs, Inclusion and Diversity*. Berkshire, England: Open University Press 2015 (3rd edition)
- Gagne, R. M., Wager, W.W., Golas, K., & Keller, J.M. (2005). *Principles of instructional design*. (5th ed.). Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Gang, M., & Siegel, L.S. (2002). Sound-symbol learning in children with dyslexia. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 35, 137-157.
- Gardner H. (1993b). *Multiple intelligence: The theory in practice*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gardner, H. S. (1999). *Intelligence reframed: Multiple intelligences for the 21st century*. New York: Basic Books. [HKPolyU serial no. BF432.3 .G378 1999].
- Goleman, D. (2004). *Working with emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam Press. [HKPolyU serial no. HD38.2.G64]
- Grey, S., & Pavis, A. P. (2008). *Praxis II elementary education: Curriculum, instruction and assessment*. Research and Education Association.
- Gubbins, E. J., Westberg, K.L., Reis, S. M., Dinnocenti, S. T., Tieso, C. L., Muller, L. M., Park, S., Emerick, L.J., Maxfield, L.R., & Burns, D.E. (2002). *Implementing a professional development model using gifted education strategies with all students*. Storrs, CT: The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.
- Hope, M. (1996). *Multiple Intelligences in the mathematical classroom*. Skylight Training & Publishing.
- Jimerson S. R., Burns, M. K., et.al. (Eds.) (2007). *Handbook of Response to Instruction*. Springer.

- Langa, M. A., & Yost, J. L. (2007). *Curriculum mapping for differentiated instruction*. Thousand Oaks, Calif. : Corwin Press.
- Lazear, D. (1991a). *Seven ways of teaching: The artistry of teaching with multiple intelligences*. Arlington Heights, IL: IRI/Skylight Training and Publishing, Inc.
- Lazear, D. (1991b). *Seven ways of knowing: Teaching for multiple intelligences*. Palatine, IL: IRI/Skylight Training and Publishing, Inc.
- Lemlech, J.K. (2005). *Curriculum and instruction methods for elementary and middle schools* (6th ed.). Amazon website: <http://www.amazon.com>
- Lerner, J. (2008). *Learning Disabilities: Theories, diagnosis, and teaching strategies*. NY: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Lesaux, N. K., Lipka, O., & Siegel, L. S. (2006) Investigating cognitive and linguistic abilities that influence the reading comprehension skills of children from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal, 19*, 99-131.
- Lesaux, N.K., Vukovic, R.K., Hertzman, C., & Siegel, L.S. (2007) Context matters: The interrelatedness of early literacy skills, developmental health, and community demographics. *Education and Early Development, 18*, 497-518.
- Lipka, O., Lesaux, N. K., & Siegel, L. S. (2006). Retrospective analyses of the reading development of a group of grade 4 disabled readers: Risk status and profiles over 5 years. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 39*, 364-378.
- Lipka, O., Siegel, L.S., & Vukovic, R. K. (2005). The literacy skills of English language learners in lessons from research. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice, 20*, 39-49.
- Lunt I. (2002). The challenge of inclusive schooling for pupils with special educational needs. In C. Campbell (Ed.), *Developing inclusive schooling: Perspectives, policies and practices*. London, UK: Institute of Education, London University.
- Lunt, I., & Norwich, B. (1999). *Can effective schools be inclusive schools?* London, UK: Institute of Education.
- Marks-Tarlow, T. (1994). *Creativity inside out: Learning through multiple intelligences*. New York: Sage.
- *McLeskey, J., Rosenberg, M.S., Westling, D.L. (2013) *Inclusion : Effective Practices for All Students* (2nd edition) Pearson Education, Inc.

- McWhirter, J. J., McWhirter, B.T., McWhirter, E.H., & McWhirter, R.J. (2007). *At-risk youth: A comprehensive response*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning. (with on-line ABC video clips).
- Messe, R.L. (2001). *Teaching learners with mild disabilities: Integrating research and practice*. (2nded.). Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Mercer, C. D. (2007). *Students with learning disabilities*. NJ: Merrill.
- Moon, S. M. & Reis, S. M. (2004). Acceleration and twice-exceptional students. In N. Colangelo, S. G. Assouline, & M. U. M. Gross. (Eds.). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students*. Iowa City, IA: The University of Iowa, pp. 109-117.
- Northey, S. S. (2005). *Handbook on differentiated instruction for middle and high schools*. Larchmont, N.Y. On Education Inc.
- O'Connor, A.T., & Callahan-Young, S. (1994). *Seven windows to a child's world: 100 ideas for the multiple intelligences classroom*. Palatine, IL: IRI/Skylight Training and Publishing, Inc.
- Passolunghi, M., & Siegel, L. S. (2001). Short-term memory, working memory, and inhibitory control in children with difficulties in arithmetic problem solving. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 80, 44-57.
- Passolunghi, M.C.& Siegel, L.S. (2004). Working memory and access to numerical information in children with disability in mathematics. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 88, 348-367.
- Pohl, M. (1997). *Teaching thinking skills in the primary years: A whole school approach*. Cheltenham, Vic. Australia: Hawker Brownlow Education.
- Pohl, M. (2000). *Learning to think thinking to learn*. Cheltenham, Vic. : Hawker Brownlow Education.
- *Rapp, Whitney H. (2014). *Universal Design for Learning 100 ways to Teach All Learners*. Paul H.Brookes Publishing Co., Baltimore, Maryland.
- Reis, S. M. (2007). Talented readers. In J. A. Plucker, & C. M. Carolyn (Eds.) *Critical issues and practices in gifted education: What the research says*. (pp. 655-668). Waco, Texas: Prufrock Press.
- Reis, S. M. (2007). The underachievement of gifted students: Multiple frustrations and few solutions. In K. Kay, D. Robson, J.F. Brenneman (Eds.). *High I.Q. kids*. Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit Press.
- Reis, S. M. (2008). Turning points in gifted education and talent development.

	<p>In (Eds.). T. Balchin, B. Hymer, & D. J. Matthews. <i>The Routledge International Companion to Gifted Education</i>. (317-324), London, England: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.</p> <p>Reis, S. M., Burns, D. E., & Renzulli, J. S. (1992, 2008). <i>Curriculum compacting: The complete guide to modifying the regular curriculum for high ability students</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Reis, S. M., Eckert, R. D., Jacobs, J., Coyne, M., Richards, S. Briggs, C. J., Scheriber, F. J., Gubbins, E. J. (2005). <i>The Schoolwide Enrichment Model: Reading framework</i>. Storrs, CT: The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.</p> <p>Reis, S.M., Gubbins, E.J., Briggs, C., Schreiber, F. R., Richards, S., Jacobs, J., Eckert, R.D., Alexander, M. (2003). <i>Reading instruction for talented readers: Case studies documenting few opportunities for continuous progress</i>. Storrs, CT: The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented. (RM03184).</p> <p>Reis, S. M. & Hebert, T. (2007). Supporting academic achievement in culturally diverse and academically talented students. In J. L. Kincheloe & Kecia Hayes (Eds.). <i>Teaching city kids: Understanding and appreciating them</i>. (pp. 233-244). Peter Lang: New York.</p> <p>Reis, S. M., & Renzulli, J. S. (1985). <i>The Secondary Triad Model: A practical plan for implementing gifted programs at the junior and senior high school levels</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Reis, S. M., & Renzulli, J. S. (2005). <i>Curriculum Compacting: An easy start to differentiating for high-potential students</i>. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.</p> <p>Reis, S. M. & Sullivan, E. E. (2008). Characteristics of gifted learners: Consistently varied; refreshingly diverse. In F. Karnes & S. Bean (Eds.). <i>Methods and materials for teaching the gifted</i>. (pp. 3-36), Waco, TX: Prufrock Press.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S., Gentry, M., & Reis, S. M. (2002, 2008). <i>Enrichment clusters: A practical plan for real-world, student-driven learning</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (1986). <i>The Triad Reader</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (1991). <i>The complete Triad Trainer's Inservice Manual</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (1997). <i>The Schoolwide Enrichment Model: A</i></p>
--	--

	<p><i>comprehensive plan for educational excellence</i>. Mansfield Center, CT: Creative Learning Press.</p> <p>Renzulli, J.S. & Reis, S. M. (2007). A computerized strength assessment and internet based enrichment program for developing giftedness and talents. In K. Tirri (Ed.). <i>Values and Foundations in Gifted Education</i>. (pp. 141-155). Peter Lang: Bern.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S. & Reis, S. M. (2008). <i>Enriching curriculum for all students</i>. Corwin Press: Thousand Oaks, CA.</p> <p>Renzulli, J. S. & Reis, S. M. (2008). A computerized strength assessment and internet- based enrichment programme for developing giftedness and talents. In T. Balchin, B. Hymer & D. J. Matthews. (Eds.). <i>The Routledge International Companion to Gifted Education</i>. (pp. 185-194). London, England: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.</p> <p>Rupp, A.A., Lesaux, N.K., & Siegel, L.S. (2006). Meeting expectations? An empirical investigation of a standards-based reading assessment. <i>Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis</i>, 28, 315-333.</p> <p>Salzberger-Wittenberg, I., Williams, G., & Osborne, E.(1999). <i>The emotional experience of learning and teaching</i>. London : Karnac Books. [HKPolyU serial no.<u>LB1060 .S24 1999</u>]</p> <p>Scheuermann, B., & Webber, J. (2002). <i>Autism: Teaching does make a difference</i>. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.</p> <p>Sharp, P. (2001). <i>Nurturing emotional literacy: A practical guide for teachers, parents, and those in the caring professions</i>. London : David Fulton Publishers. [HKPolyU serial no. <u>BF576 .S52 2001</u>] .</p> <p>Siegel, L. S. (2003). IQ-discrepancy definitions and the diagnosis of LD: Introduction to the Special Issue. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities</i>, 36, 2-3.</p> <p>Siegel, L. S., & Smythe, I, S. (2005) Reflections on research on reading disability with special attention to gender issues. <i>Journal of Learning Disabilities</i> 5, 473-477.</p> <p>Siegel, L.S. (2007). Perspectives on Dyslexia. <i>Paediatrics & Child Health</i>, 11, 581-588.</p> <p>Siegel, L.S. (2008). Morphological awareness skills of English language learners and children with Dyslexia. <i>Topics in Language Disorders</i> 28, 15-27.</p> <p>Siegle, D., Owen, S. V., & Reis, S. M. (1995). <i>Making a difference: Classroom strategies to motivate students</i>. Storrs, CT: The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.</p>
--	--

Smagorinsky, P. (1991). *Expressions: Multiple Intelligences in the English class*. Urbana, Ill.: National Council of Teachers of English. [HKPolyU serial no. LB1631 .S58 1991].

*Spencer J. Salend (2015) *Creating Inclusive Classrooms Effective, Differentiated and Reflective Practices*. Pearson; 8 edition (July 1, 2015).

Sprenger-Charolles, L., Siegel, L. S., Bechenec, D., & Serniclaes, W. (2003). Development of phonological and orthographic processing in reading aloud, in silent reading, and in spelling: A four year longitudinal study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 84, 194-217.

Sternberg, R. J. (1990). *Successful intelligence: How practical and creative intelligence determine success in life*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Swanson, H. L. & Siegel, L. S. (2001). Learning disabilities as a working memory deficit. *Issues in Education*, 7, 1-48, 127-154.

Tomlinson, C. A., Ford, Donna H., Reis, S. M., Briggs, C. J., Strickland, C. A. (2003). *In search of the dream: Designing schools and classrooms that work for high potential students from diverse cultural backgrounds*. Washington, DC: The National Association for Gifted Children & The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented.

Vukovic, R. & Siegel, L. S. (2006). The double deficit hypothesis: A comprehensive review of the evidence. *Journal of Learning Disabilities* 39, 25-47.

*Wehman, P. & Kregel, J. (2012). *Functional Curriculum for elementary and secondary students with special needs*, 3rd edition. PRO-ED, Inc., Texas.

Wheldall, K. & Siegel, L.S. (2004) Reading science comes of age. *Educational Psychology*, 24, 723-725.

Whitmore, K., Hart, H., & Willems, G. (Eds.). (1999). *A neurodevelopmental approach to specific learning disorders*. London: Mac Keith.

香港心理學會教育心理學部《教育心理手冊》(香港特別行政區: 香港心理學會, 2004). [Chinese original manuscript, entitled: Division of Educational Psychology (2004). *Educational psychology handbook (for professional practice)*. Hong Kong Special Administrative Region: Hong Kong Psychological Society.] .

References

Ainscow, M., Farrell, P., & Tweddle, D. (2000). Developing policies for inclusive education: A study of the role of local education authorities. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 4(3), 211-229.

- American School Counselor Association (ASCA). National Standards: Conducting a Program Audit; Competencies and Indicators; Developmental Cross-Walking Tool; Curriculum Crosswalking Tool.*
- Armstrong, T. H. S.、丁凡譯,《因材施教》(臺北:遠流出版事業股份有限公司,1998).
- Armstrong, T. H. S.、李平譯,《經營多元智能——開展以學生為中心的教學》(臺北:遠流出版社,1997). [English original manuscript, entitled: Armstrong T. H. S. (1983). *Multiple intelligences in the classroom*. New York: Random House.]
- The Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD), USA, Document retrievable at website: <http://www.ascd>
- Arthur-Kelly, M., Butterfly, G. L. N., & Gordon, C. (2007). *Classroom management: Creating positive learning environments*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Baptist University of Hong Kong, Centre for Educational Studies.(2008). *Thinking Qualities Initiative*. 《思維工程》 Document retrievable at website: <http://www.hkbu.edu.hk/~think/>.
- Behuniak, P., Reis, S.M., McCoach, D.B., & Stephens, J.M. (Eds.). (2007). *A study of student achievement in Connecticut*. Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.
- Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2000). *The Index for inclusion*. Bristol: Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- Boulton, A. (1997). *Losing the thread: Pupils' and parents' voices about education for sick children*. London: National Association for the Education of Sick Children.
- Boulton, A., Closs, A., & Norris, C. (2000). Researching the education of children with medical conditions: Reflections on two projects. In A. Closs (ed.), *The Education of Children with Medical Conditions*, pp. 39–51. London: David Fulton.
- Brown, A. S. (1989). *How to increase your memory power*. Glenview: Scott Foresman. [HKPolyU serial no. BF385.B789] .
- Buzan, T. (1986). *Use your memory*. London: B.B.C. Enterprises Ltd.
- Buzan, T.、詹求孚譯、陳芳智譯,《開發你的腦力》(台北:遠流出版社,1993).
- Campbell, L., & Campbell, B.、梁雲霞譯,《多元智慧和學生成就》(臺北:遠流出版事業股份有限公司,2000).

- Campbell, L., Campbell, B., & Dickinson, D.、郭俊賢、陳淑惠譯,《多元智慧的教與學》(臺北:遠流出版事業股份有限公司,2000).
- Cennamo, K., & Kalk, D. (2005). *Real world instructional design*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Cermak, L.S.、梁庚辰譯,《怎樣增進記憶?》(台北:遠流出版社,1992).
- Chiappe, P. & Siegel, L. S. (2006). A longitudinal study of reading development of Canadian children from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Elementary School Journal*, 107, 135-152.
- Chiappe, P., Siegel, L. S., & Gottardo, A. (2002). Reading-related skills of kindergartners from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 23, 95-116.
- Chiappe, P., Siegel, L. S., & Wade-Woolley, L. (2002). Linguistic diversity and the development of reading skills: A longitudinal study. *Scientific Study of Reading*, 6, 369-400.
- Chiarelott, L. (2006). *Curriculum in context*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Closs, A., (Ed.). (2000). *The education of children with medical conditions*. London: David Fulton.
- Colangelo, N., Assouline, S.G., & Gross, M.U.M. (Eds.). *A nation deceived: How schools hold back America's brightest students*. Iowa City, IA: The University of Iowa.
- Coles, R. (1997). *The moral intelligence of children*. New York: Random House.
- Crane, L. (2002). *Mental retardation: A community integration approach*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Csikszentmihalyi M. (1996). *Creativity*. New York: Brockman, Inc.
- Csikszentmihalyi M.、杜明城譯,《創造力》(臺北:時報文化出版企業股份有限公司,1999).
- D'Angiulli, A. & Siegel, L.S. (2004). Schooling, socioeconomic context and literacy development. *Educational Psychology*, 24, 867-883.
- Dennison《大腦體操(完全大腦開發手冊)》(臺北:張老師文化事業股份有限公司,2000).
- DfE (1994). *The education of sick children. Circular 12/94*. London:

	<p>Department for Education and Employment (DH LAC (94) 10; NHSE (94) 24).</p> <p>DfES (2001a). <i>Access to education for children and young people with medical needs</i>. London: Department for Education and Skills.</p> <p>DfES (2001b). <i>Promoting children's mental health within early years and school settings</i>. Nottingham: DfEE Publications.</p> <p>DfES (2001c). <i>Special Educational Needs Code of Practice</i>. Nottingham: DfES Publications.</p> <p>Dilip, Mukerjea. (1986). <i>Superbrain</i>. Oxford.</p> <p>Freedman, J. M. (1998). <i>Handle with care: The emotional intelligence activity book</i>. San Mateo: Six Seconds. [HKPolyU serial no. BF697.S8 E69] [http://halleducation.com/education_theory/149.shtml]</p> <p>Gardner, H. S. (1983). <i>Frames of mind</i>. New York: Basic Books.</p> <p>Gardner H. (1993a). <i>Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences (2nd ed.)</i>. London: Fontana Press.</p> <p>Gardner, H. (1993c). <i>Creating mind: An anatomy of creativity seen through the lives of Freud, Einstein, Picasso, Stravinsky, Eliot, Graham, and Gandhi</i>. New York: Basic books.</p> <p>Gardner, H., & Ramos-Ford, V. (1991). Giftedness from a multiple intelligences perspective. In N. Colangelo and G. A. Davis (Eds.), (1991). <i>Handbook of gifted education</i>. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.</p> <p>Gardner H.、陳瓊森譯,《開啟多元智能新世紀》(臺北: 信誼基金出版社, 1997).</p> <p>Gardner H.、莊安祺譯,《七種 I.Q.》(臺北: 時報出版社, 1998).[English original manuscript, entitled: Gardner, H.S. (1983). <i>Frames of mind</i>. New York: Basic Books, Harper Collins Publishers, Inc.] .</p> <p>Gavin, M. K. & Reis, S. M. (2005). Helping teachers to encourage talented girls in mathematics. In S. K. Johnsen & J. Kendrick (Eds.), <i>Teaching and counseling gifted girls</i>, (pp. 147 – 176). Waco, TX: Prufrock Press, Inc.</p> <p>Gelb, M.、劉蘊芳譯,《7 Brains——怎樣擁有達文西的7種天才?》(<i>How to think like Leonardo de Vinci ?</i>) (臺北: 桂冠圖書股份有限公司, 1999). [HKPolyU serial no. BF408.G3712]</p> <p>George, P. S., Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (1998). <i>Dilemmas in talent development in the middle grades: Two views</i>. Columbus, OH: National</p>
--	---

Middle School Association.

Geva, E. & Siegel, L. S. (2000). Orthographic and cognitive factors in the concurrent development of basic reading skill in two languages. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 12, 1-30.

Goleman, D. (2004). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than I.Q. for character, health and lifelong achievement?* New York: Cambridge University Press. [HKPolyU serial no. BF576 .G64 2004]

Goleman, D.、張美蕙譯,《情緒智力》(台北:時報出版社,1996). [HKPolyU serial no. BF561.E565 VCD].

Gottardo, A., Chiappe, P., Yan, B., Siegel, L.S., & Gu, Y. (2006) Relationships between first and second language phonological processing skills and reading in Chinese-English speakers living in English-speaking contexts. *Educational Psychology*, 26 367-394.

Gottardo, A., Yan, B., Siegel, L. S., Wade-Woolley, L. (2001). Factors related to English reading performance in children with Chinese as a first language: More evidence of cross-language transfer of phonological processing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93, 530-542.

Greenhalgh, P. (1994). *Emotional growth and learning*. London ; New York : Routledge. [HKPolyU serial no. LB1073 .G74 1994]

Greenspan, S. (1999). *Building healthy minds: The six experiences that create intelligence and emotional growth in babies and young children*. New York: Perseus Books.

Groundwater-Smith, S., Ewing, R., & Cirby, R. Le (2007). *Teaching: Challenges and dilemma*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Gunderson, L. & Siegel, L. S. (2001). The evils of the use of IQ tests to define learning disabilities in first and second-language learners. *The Reading Teacher*, 55, 48-55.

Gutloff, K. (1996). *Multiple intelligences*. West Haven, CT.: NEA Professional Library. [HKPolyU serial no. LB1060 .M84 1996].

Howe, M. J. (Ed.) (1990). *Encouraging the development of exceptional skills and talents*. Leicester: British Psychological Society.

Howe, M. J. (1997). *I.Q. in question: The truth about intelligence*. Menlo Park, CA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co.

Jongejan, W., Verhoeven, L., & Siegel, L.S., (2007). Predictors of reading and spelling abilities in first and second language learners. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 835-851.

- Khalsa, D. S.、羅美惠譯,《優質大腦》(臺北: 桂冠圖書股份有限公司, 1999). [English original manuscript, entitled: Khalsa, D. S. (1991). *Brain longevity: The breakthrough medical program that improves your mind and memory*. New York: Warner Books, Inc.] .
- Killen, R. (2007). *Effective teaching strategies*. (4th ed.). Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Knell, E. , Siegel, L.S., Qiang, H., Zhoa, L., Pei, M., Zhao, W., & Chi, Y.P.(2007) Early English immersion and literacy in Xi'an, China. *Modern Language Journal*, 91, 395-417.
- Lazear, D.、郭淑賢、陳淑惠譯,《落實多元智慧教學評量》(臺北: 遠流出版事業股份有限公司, 2000).
- Lesaux, N.K., Rupp, A.A., & Siegel, L.S., (2007) Growth in reading skills of children from diverse linguistic backgrounds: Findings from a 5-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 821-834
- Lesaux, N.K., Vukovic, R.K., Hertzman, C., & Siegel, L.S. (2007) Context matters: The interrelatedness of early literacy skills, developmental health, and community demographics. *Education and Early Development*, 18, 497-518
- Lipka, O. & Siegel, L.S. (2007). The development of reading skills in children with English as a second language. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 11, 105-131.
- Lipka, O., Siegel, L.S., & Vukovic, R. K. (2005). The literacy skills of English language learners in lessons from research. *Learning Disabilities Research and Practice*, 20, 39-49.
- Lesaux, N.K. & Siegel, L.S. (2003). The development of reading in children who speak English as a second language. *Developmental Psychology*, 25, 1005-1019.
- Lesaux, N. K., Lipka, O., & Siegel, L. S. (2006) Investigating cognitive and linguistic abilities that influence the reading comprehension skills of children from diverse linguistic backgrounds. *Reading and Writing: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 19, 99-131.
- Low, P., & Siegel, L.S. (2005) A comparison of the cognitive processes underlying reading comprehension in native English and ESL speakers. *Written Language and Literacy*, 8(2), 207-231.
- Lucangeli, D., Tressoldi, P.E., Bendotti, M., Bonanomi, M. & Siegel, L.S. (2003). Effective strategies for mental and written arithmetic calculation from the third to the fifth grade. *Educational Psychology*, 23, 507-520.
- Lunt I. (1999). Unity through diversity: An achievable goal. *The*

Psychologist, 12, 10, 492-496.

Marshall, P. (1998). *Maximizing your memory: How to train yourself to remember more*. Oxford: How to Books. [<http://hallselfesteem.com/self-improvement/876.shtml>]

Perkins, D. (1995). *Outsmarting I.Q.: The emerging science of learnable intelligence*. New York: The Free Press.

Price, K.M., & Nelson, K.L. (2007). *Planning effective instruction: Diversity responsive methods and management*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Prosser, J. (Ed.).(1999). *School culture*. London : Paul Chapman. [HKPolyU serial no. [LB3011 .S36 1999](#)]

Reis, S. M. (2003). Introduction to the Series Editor, Essential Readings in Gifted Education. *Curriculum for Gifted and Talented Students*. Corwin Press: Thousand Oaks, CA. (pp. IX-XXI).

Reis, S. M. (2007). Research-based practices for talented readers. Pearson/Scott Foresman: Research Brief.

Reis, S. M., Schader, R., Milne, H., & Stephens, R. (2005) Music & minds: Using a talent development approach for students with Williams syndrome. Storrs, CT: The National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented, University of Connecticut.

Renzulli, J. S., & Reis, S. M. (2004). Curriculum Compacting: A research-based differentiation strategy for culturally diverse talented students. In D. Boothe, & J. C. Stanley (Eds.). *The Eyes of the beholder: Critical issues for diversity in gifted education*. Waco, TX: Prufrock Press, pp. 87-100.

Roger, B., & Zepson, J. 著、溫淑真譯,《如何增進腦力?》(臺北: 牛頓出版社, 1993).

Schuman, J., Yungclas, T., & Reis, S.M. (2006). Vision and leadership: Extraordinary achievement at Henry C. Dwight School. In P. Behuniak, S.M. Reis, D.B. McCoach, & J.M. Stephens (Eds.). *A study of student achievement in Connecticut* (pp. 36-55). Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.

Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. New York: Basic Books.

Schön, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Toward a new design for teaching and learning in the professions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Seng, T.O. (2003). *Enhancing thinking through problem-based learning*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Seng, T.O. (2003). *Problem-based learning innovation*. Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

Strongman, K. T.、游恒山譯,《情緒心理學》(台北: 五南圖書出版社, 1993). [HKPolyU serial no. BF531.S821] .

Sweeny S.M., & Reis, S.M. (2006). Caring and collaboration: Extraordinary achievement at John B. Stanton School. In P. Behuniak, S.M. Reis, D.B. McCoach, J.M. Stephens (Eds.). *A study of student achievement in Connecticut* (pp. 125-141). Hartford, CT: Connecticut State Department of Education.

University of Connecticut, Confratute information retrievable from website: <http://www.gifted.uconn.edu/confratute/>.

Vandervelden, M.C. & Siegel, L. S. (2001). Phonological processing in written word learning: Assessment for students who use AAC. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 17*, 37-51.

Wood, D. (1998). *How children think and learn: The social contexts of cognitive development*. (2nd ed.). Oxford ; Malden, Mass. : Blackwell. [HKPolyU serial no. BF723.C5 W66 1998]

Wycoff, J. (1991). *Mind mapping*. CA: Berkley Books.

中華民國特殊教育學會主編,《資優學生創造力與特殊才能》(臺北: 心理出版社, 1993)

中華民國特殊教育學會主編,《資優學生鑑定與輔導》(心理出版社有限公司, 1987)

田耐青,《多元智慧理論——學習可以是快樂、成功的》(臺北: 世紀領袖教育研究發展中心, 1999) .

品川嘉著、王蘊潔譯,《讓你更Smart的右腦體操》(臺北: 世茂文化事業有限公司, 1999).

品川嘉也著、王蘊潔譯,《贏在活用右腦: 右腦潛能開發法》(臺北: 世茂文化事業有限公司, 1992)

余古少賢、陸何錦環、郭玉蓮、鍾麗萍,《知人資己樂優悠 ——培育資優兒童社交、情緒智能小組訓練冊與資料彙編》(中國香港特別行政區: 聖雅各福群會, 2000), 66頁。 [Chinese original manuscript, translated English title: Ku-Yu, H.S.Y. (2000). *Developing social and emotional intelligence of gifted and talented children: A group training manual and*

resource book. The Hong Kong S.A.R., China: St. James' Settlement, pp. 66.] .

余古少賢,〈啟迪創造力的潛質〉,載於《塑造21世紀年輕人——青少年工作者手冊》,蔡元雲等編(中國香港特別行政區:突破出版社,1998),86-104. (HV1441.H6 S83) [Chinese original manuscript, translated English title: Ku-Yu, H.S.Y. (1998). 'Nurturing creative potential', in Y. W. Tsoi et al. (eds.) *Cultivating younger generation for the 21st century—A guidebook for working with young adolescents*, 86-104. The Hong Kong S.A.R., China: The Breakthrough Ltd.] .

吳武典,〈透過人事智能達到成功人生〉,《香港資優兒童會會訊》第十二期(1999), 1-5.

林建州,《啊哈創造力訓練教材》(台北:啊哈文化有限公司)。

陳龍安,《啟發孩子的創造力》(香港:青田教育中心,1995). [HKPolyU serial no. BF723.C7 Q53]

陳龍安,《創意點子手冊》(台北:台北市師範學院創造思考教育中心)。

陳龍安,《語文動動腦(一上)至(五下)》(台北:心理出版社)。

張玉成,《開發腦中金的教學策略》(臺北:心理出版社,1996). [HKPolyU serial no. LB1590.5.243]

香港小童群益會 多元智能程序發展工作小組,《多元智能遊戲創作集》(香港特別行政區:香港小童群益會,1998)。

香港小童群益會 多元智能程序發展工作小組,《發展幼兒多元智能自我教材套》(香港特別行政區:香港小童群益會,2000)。

原來,《創意思考365(上)》(台北:小暢書房)。

原來,《創意思考365(下)》(台北:小暢書房)。

原來,《如何啟發聯想力》(台北:林鬱文化事業有限公司)。

海云明編,《情緒智商》(北京:中國城市出版社,1997)。

張治中、羅玲玲,《換腦大行動:如何拓展新思路》(香港:新雅文化事業有限公司,1994)。

黃柏松,《爆發的金腦袋》(臺北:漢欣文化事業出版社,1992)。

謝家安,《有效發揮大腦潛能》(香港:香港大腦潛能中心出版社,1995)。

<p>齊瑪克著、梁庚辰譯,《怎樣增進記憶?》(臺北:遠流出版社,1984). [HKPolyU serial no. BF385.C3561]</p> <p>趙志裕、康螢儀、鄭思雅、賀倍,《多元才能— IQ. 以外的能力》(臺北:心理出版社,1998).</p> <p>趙志裕、康螢儀、葉慧敏、李秀麗、符可瑩,《發揮多元才能——從激發動機到取得成就》(香港特別行政區:三聯出版社,2000).</p> <p>樺旦純著、吳怡臻譯,《CQ右腦思考法》(臺北:圓智出版社,1999).</p> <p>國立編譯館(譯)《啓迪資優——發展兒童的潛能》(臺北:心理出版社,1987).</p> <p>魏美惠,《智力新探》(臺北:心理出版社,1996).</p>
--